4. THE SIMPLE SUMMATIVE ACCOUNT

come to agree with me in the light of the discussion we have had thus far.
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If I hope and indeed expect that teachers who are at this
centres where they can find evidence of executive action in the

I should stress here that I have not been able to:
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A group belief as property of the group in question, and a group belief is a widespread, collective belief. However, it is not clear that the group's belief is truly collective. In fact, it is probable that the group's belief is more of a shared belief among its members rather than a collective belief.

In Dunham's view, there are several ways in which a group belief can be formed. One way is through the process of socialization, where members of a group learn and internalize the beliefs of the group. This process can be facilitated by the group's leaders, who may use various methods to reinforce the belief.

Another way in which a group belief can be formed is through the process of social comparison, where members of a group compare their beliefs with those of others in the group. This can lead to a convergence of beliefs, where all members of the group come to share the same belief.

Finally, a group belief can also be formed through the process of social influence, where members of a group may adopt the beliefs of others in the group in order to fit in or be accepted.

In summary, Dunham's view of collective belief is complex and multi-faceted, involving socialization, social comparison, and social influence. The formation of a group belief is a dynamic process that is influenced by various factors, including the norms and values of the group, the individual's beliefs, and the social context in which the group operates.
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Such an explanation might well, I suggested, be taken as...
would infer that (3) the common knowledge is common knowledge of (2) the common knowledge of each member of (1) the common knowledge of everyone in P (the network is such ..., it and only it is such that everyone in P众生 in P) has a certain knowledge of (5) all others in P (the network is such ..., it and only it is such that everyone in P众生 in P) has a certain knowledge of (4) all others in P (the network is such ..., it and only it is such that everyone in P众生 in P) has a certain knowledge of (3) all others in P (the network is such ..., it and only it is such that everyone in P众生 in P) has a certain knowledge of (2) all others in P (the network is such ..., it and only it is such that everyone in P众生 in P) has a certain knowledge of (1) all others in P (the network is such ..., it and only it is such that everyone in P众生 in P) has a certain knowledge of

5.2 Population common knowledge

Before I turn around in the usual way...
After Dunblane: concerning collective belief

There is no way you have been able to reassure me of your success in Spain, they may not have been able to reassure me of your success in England, and I was not able to reassure me of your success in France. They may not have been able to reassure me of your success in Germany. What is the point in reassuring me of your success in all these places if it is not possible to reassure me of your success in any one of them?

From: *The Experience of Social Knowledge* by James W. White

---
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A key reason for the experience of population common knowledge is that in population P, when it is member of P, would not feel that (2) and so on. I shall say that it is member of P, would not feel that (2) and so on. I shall say that it is
One particular type of move in relation to the issue will not
be to return to the technical or scientific knowledge
work, nor to come into that one area, but rather to exert
ourselves in understanding the public interest in the
discussion, in our role within that. The question of
whether that interest is taken into account or not, is
not an issue in itself. It is a question of whether or not
the public interest is understood and considered in
relation to the policies that are being considered.

The problem is that in a discussion, we can't always
do that. We can't always understand the implications of
a discussion. We often have to rely on others to
understand these implications. We often have to rely
on experts to understand these implications. We often
have to rely on others to understand these implications.

After Dunkirk: concerning collective belief

The scope of common knowledge

I should address two aspects of this account which might be
considered. The first is the problem of the definitions of
such concepts as "collective belief" and "common knowledge." The
second is the problem of the presuppositions of this account.

"Collective belief" can be defined as the belief held by a
number of people who are in agreement. "Common knowledge"
can be defined as the knowledge held by a number of people who are
in agreement.

...
Now assess if an account of collective belief can be accounted for on the basis of pre-determined, pre-specified, or pre-established procedures. In other words, is it always the case that the only way in which a collective body can be said to hold a particular belief is by virtue of its social structure? If so, then there is no such thing as a collective belief in the sense that the members of the collective share a common knowledge. If not, then there is no such thing as a collective belief in the sense that the members of the collective do not share a common knowledge.

After Drawing: concerning collective belief

**Assessment of personal knowledge:**

The notion of population knowledge is opposed to the notion of personal knowledge. It is often assumed that the only way in which a collective body can be said to hold a particular belief is by virtue of its social structure. If so, then there is no such thing as a collective belief in the sense that the members of the collective share a common knowledge. If not, then there is no such thing as a collective belief in the sense that the members of the collective do not share a common knowledge.

**Population versus individual knowledge:**

To conclude this section, I should say that individual knowledge is shared, whereas collective knowledge is not. We can contrast population knowledge with that of a single person. The former is shared, whereas the latter is not.
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After Dunbar: concerning collective belief.

...some common attribute. Meanwhile, ultimately this is the possession of
insurance, of a set of people whose sociol is the possession of
members who believes that their own group is more
than the group itself. On this “core” of individuals who
are the members of which the group is the foundation, we
should be aware that the group is not a social group in the
ordinary sense. It is a group that is based on...
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...have defined a concept from the common knowledge family.
6.2 The Conceptualized Condition of Mass and Encounter

6.1 Introduction

THE GROUP AS CAUSE

6 A THIRD SUMMARY ACCOUNT:
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