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Let me begin by restating, in summary form, the analysis of the last chapter. In the UK and the USA at the present time, the field of crime control exhibits two new and distinct patterns of action: an adaptive strategy stressing prevention and partnership and a sovereign state strategy stressing enhanced control and expressive punishment. These strategies—which are quite different from the penal-welfare policies that preceded them—were formed in response to a new predicament faced by government in late-modern societies. This predicament arose because at a certain historical moment high rates of crime became a normal social fact; penal-welfare solutions fell into disrepute; and the modern, differentiated, criminal justice state was perceived as having failed to deliver adequate levels of security.

The state's need to rescue these realities without appearing to retreat in the face of them constitutes an acute and recurring political problem. Political actors and state officials increasingly recognize this predicament and adapt to it, for example, by focusing upon the effects of crime (victims, fear, costs, etc.) rather than its causes. One important response has been to withdraw the state's claim to be the chief provider of security and to attempt to remodel crime-control on a more dispersed, partnership basis. In this arrangement the state works through civil society and not upon it, and emphasizes proactive prevention rather than the prosecution and punishment of individuals. Adaptive solutions of this kind are politically difficult and institutionally radical. They involve the formation of hybrid organizations that traverse the old public/private boundaries; the activation of preventative action on the part of communities, commercial firms, and citizens; and the redefining of the organizational mission of agencies such as the police, probation, and the prisons. Governments in both Britain and America have begun to develop adaptive responses, to reach out to the private sector for partnership support, and to put in place a new infrastructure of crime prevention and community policing.

But government authorities—and especially elected officials—are deeply ambivalent about these strategies, and they frequently retreat from their implications. Under certain circumstances, or with respect to certain kinds of offences and offenders, they respond to the predicament by denying it and reactivating the old myth of the sovereign state. The result is the emergence of expressive and intensive modes of policing and punishment that purport to convey public sentiment and the full force of state authority.

I want to continue that analysis now by asking a series of questions about these two strategies. For convenience, I will term these the strategies of preventative partnership—by which I refer to the effort to share responsibility for crime control and build a crime prevention infrastructure beyond the state—and the strategy of punitive segregation, which refers to the new reliance upon measures, above all incapacitative imprisonment, designed to punish and exclude. I want to ask, where do these strategies come from? What are their historical conditions of existence? From what sources do they derive their social support and cultural resonance?

An analysis of the conditions of existence of these two strategies actually leads in two different directions and tackles two quite different problems, even though the two strategies emerged out of the same historical conjuncture. The key question in respect of punitive segregation relates to its cultural and social support. This, after all, is a highly visible, highly politicized policy that could not operate in the absence of broad public commitment. In regard to preventative partnerships, the problem of preconditions is rather different. Preventive partnerships, like most of the administrative adaptations, are not high profile policies, nor do they require much in the way of popular electoral support. But they do involve the invention of new ways of thinking and acting, and the appearance of new habits and routines on the part of private actors and organizations. If we are to understand how this strategy became feasible as and when it did, we will have to explain where these ideas and forms of action came from.

Preventive partnerships involve a whole new infrastructure of arrangements whereby state and non-state agencies co-ordinate their practices in order to prevent crime and enhance community safety through the reduction of opportunities and the extension of crime-consciousness. On both sides of the Atlantic we have seen the growth of community policing in its various forms. We have seen the co-ordination of local government agencies such as housing, transport, planning, education, and social work in an effort to increase their crime control responsibilities. We have seen the creation of public-private partnerships such as Business Improvement Districts, crime prevention panels, and neighbourhood watch schemes that seek to harness the energies and interests of private citizens and commercial associations and turn them to crime-prevention purposes. This strategy, which is constantly invoked by criminal justice officials on both sides of the Atlantic, entails a set of criminological assumptions (the new criminologies of everyday life); a style of governance (responsibilization; governing-at-a-distance); and a repertoire of techniques and knowledges, all of which are quite novel and at variance from the previously established ways of thinking and acting.

Where did these new knowledges come from? Who invented them and how did they come to influence public policy? And how was it possible for state agencies to find support for these policies in the habits and routines of private sector actors? These are questions I will address a little later in this chapter but first I want to focus on the sovereign state strategy of punitive segregation. The actual measures that make up this strategy—mandatory sentences, mass imprisonment, penal marking—required little in the way of inventiveness or originality. But they did require a level of public and political support that needs to be explained. In my analysis up to now, I have characterized punitive segregation as a form of denial and acting out on the part of political actors involved. But even policies that react to the contemporary predicament by denying its existence have certain conditions of existence that shape their form and content. It is to these conditions that I now turn.
The strategy of punitive segregation
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new research on the cultural dimensions of crime and the role of culture in shaping criminal behavior. This research suggests that cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the proportion of crime committed within a given population. For example, the culture of a community can influence the types of offenses committed, the motivation behind those offenses, and the response of the community to crime. This research also highlights the importance of understanding cultural factors in the design and implementation of effective crime prevention strategies. 
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Decades of research on the cultural dimensions of crime and the role of culture in shaping criminal behavior have produced a growing body of evidence indicating that cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the proportion of crime committed within a given population. This research suggests that cultural factors can influence the types of offenses committed, the motivation behind those offenses, and the response of the community to crime. Understanding cultural factors is crucial in the design and implementation of effective crime prevention strategies.
The professional middle class and political culture

The most important political function of the professional middle class is the maintenance of the existing order. It is in the interest of the professional middle class to prevent any threat to the status quo. The professional middle class is composed of individuals who are primarily concerned with maintaining and preserving the existing social order. They are typically well-educated and have achieved a high level of economic and social status. The professional middle class is often associated with conservative political beliefs and is opposed to social change that could disrupt the existing order. They are also typically involved in politics and run for public office to further their goals of maintaining the status quo. The professional middle class plays a crucial role in maintaining the political stability and continuity of society.

The professional middle class is often supported by political parties that are aligned with their interests. These political parties espouse policies that are beneficial to the professional middle class, such as lower taxes, reduced government regulations, and protection of property rights. In return, the professional middle class provides financial support and volunteer labor to these political parties. This relationship is often referred to as a system of political patronage, where the professional middle class exchanges their support for political favors. The professional middle class is also often involved in non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that promote their interests, such as trade associations and professional societies. These organizations provide a platform for the professional middle class to advocate for their interests and influence policy decisions.

The professional middle class is often an influential group in society, as they hold significant economic and social power. They are often able to shape public opinion and influence the direction of government policies. However, their influence is not always positive, as their desire to maintain the status quo can lead to resistance to change and innovation. The professional middle class must therefore balance their desire to maintain the status quo with the need for progress and social change. This balance is often achieved through political compromise and negotiation, where the professional middle class works with other groups to find solutions that benefit society as a whole.
The decline of influence of social experts

...
The increased estimate of crime

The present estimates of the size and extent of the crime problem are based on the assumption that crime is a function of the size of the criminal population and the frequency of criminal opportunities. This assumption is generally accepted and is supported by a large body of research. However, it is important to recognize that the accuracy of these estimates is limited by a number of factors, including the reliability of the data, the methodology used, and the assumptions underlying the estimates.

The data used to estimate the size of the criminal population are collected by various government agencies and are subject to a number of biases. For example, the data may not accurately reflect the true number of individuals who engage in criminal behavior, as some individuals may be arrested, convicted, or imprisoned before they have had the opportunity to commit a crime.

The methodology used to estimate the size of the criminal population is also subject to limitations. For example, the data may not accurately reflect the extent to which individuals are involved in criminal activity, as some individuals may engage in criminal behavior on a regular basis while others may engage in criminal behavior only occasionally.

The assumptions underlying the estimates are also important to consider. For example, the estimates may not accurately reflect the extent to which individuals engage in criminal activity, as some individuals may be more likely to engage in criminal activity than others. The estimates may also be limited by the assumption that crime is a function of the size of the criminal population and the frequency of criminal opportunities, as some individuals may engage in criminal activity for reasons other than the desire to maximize profit or to avoid punishment.

In conclusion, the estimates of the size and extent of the crime problem are important for understanding the nature of criminal behavior and for developing effective strategies to address this problem. However, it is important to recognize that the accuracy of these estimates is limited by a number of factors, including the reliability of the data, the methodology used, and the assumptions underlying the estimates.
Crime and the mass media

The effect of the mass media on crime prevention is a complex one. Without question, the media can play a crucial role in educating the public about crime prevention strategies. However, the media can also be a source of misinformation and fear. The portrayal of crime in the media can influence public perception and behavior, leading to increased fear and paranoia. It is important to critically evaluate media reports and seek out accurate information from reliable sources.

The media can also provide a platform for public education and awareness campaigns. By highlighting crime issues and promoting prevention strategies, the media can contribute to reducing crime rates. However, it is important to consider the potential for sensationalism and过度 dramatization of events, which can lead to the perpetuation of myths and misconceptions.

In summary, the media's role in crime prevention is multifaceted. While it can be a powerful tool for education and awareness, it is crucial to critically evaluate its impact and ensure that accurate information is disseminated.

Crime and the control order

The control order is a tool used by law enforcement agencies to prevent and control criminal activity. It involves the temporary detention of an individual upon suspicion of involvement in criminal activity. The order is intended to provide a framework for investigation and allow for the collection of evidence. However, it has been criticized for its potential for abuse and violation of civil liberties. It is important to carefully consider the implementation and use of control orders to ensure a balance between public safety and individual rights.

In conclusion, the media and control orders are important tools in combating crime. It is essential to carefully evaluate their impact and consider their potential for misuse and overreach. By doing so, we can work towards effective crime prevention strategies that prioritize public safety while safeguarding individual rights.
Cultural depressions.

Definition of Cultural Depression:
Cultural depression is a term used to describe a period of decline in the cultural, social, or economic aspects of a society. It is often characterized by a decrease in cultural output, such as art, literature, and science, as well as a decline in economic growth and political stability.

Causes of Cultural Depression:
Cultural depression can be caused by a variety of factors, including political instability, economic downturns, and social upheaval. It can also be caused by a lack of cultural investment, such as funding for the arts and education, and a decline in the value placed on cultural pursuits.

Impact of Cultural Depression:
The impact of cultural depression can be significant. It can lead to a decline in the quality of life for citizens, as well as a decrease in international prestige and influence. It can also lead to a decline in the economy, as cultural industries, such as tourism and entertainment, suffer. Additionally, cultural depression can lead to a decline in the quality of education and healthcare, as well as an increase in crime and violence.

Prevention and Recovery:
The prevention of cultural depression involves addressing the root causes of the decline. This may include political stability, economic growth, and social justice. It may also involve investing in cultural industries and education, as well as promoting cultural values and traditions.

Recovery from cultural depression involves rebuilding cultural output and addressing the underlying issues. This may include initiatives to promote education and culture, as well as economic development and political stability. It may also involve promoting cultural tourism and other cultural industries, as well as protecting cultural heritage and traditions.
Private citizen, households, and communities

The adaptation of crime prevention strategies and technologies in the private sector, such as security systems, alarm systems, and surveillance cameras, can help deter criminal activities and reduce vulnerability. Communities can also take proactive measures, such as neighborhood watch programs, to enhance safety and security. Collaboration between the private sector and local law enforcement agencies can further strengthen crime prevention efforts. The integration of community engagement and education initiatives can empower residents and encourage active participation in crime prevention efforts. By fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility, communities can create a safer environment for all.

The commercial sector

The commercial sector plays a significant role in crime prevention and security management. Businesses need to implement comprehensive security measures, including access control, monitoring systems, and emergency preparedness plans. Security personnel and surveillance technologies are vital in protecting assets and ensuring operational continuity. Collaboration between businesses and local authorities can enhance overall security strategies. Through effective collaboration and information sharing, the commercial sector can contribute significantly to reducing crime and maintaining a safe environment for employees, customers, and visitors.
The culture of high crime societies

The complex of high crime societies forms in three main stages: the initial outburst of crimes, the initial outburst of crimes, and the initial outburst of crimes.

The initial outburst of crimes is characterized by dramatic bursts of violence, theft, and other forms of criminal activity. These events are often sudden and intense, leading to a sense of fear and vulnerability among the population. The initial outburst of crimes is followed by a second phase, where crimes become more frequent and less predictable. This phase is characterized by a growing sense of chaos and uncertainty, as the community tries to find ways to cope with the new reality. The final stage is marked by a gradual decline in crime, as the community begins to stabilize and find ways to reduce the underlying causes of crime. This stage is characterized by a sense of hope and recovery, as people begin to see progress and feel safer.
The culture of crime: The cycle of high crime societies.